

TECHNICAL & FINANCIAL PROPOSAL · 2026

# Migration, Poverty & Social Exclusion

EU Poverty Watch consultancy

Eufonía Diversity SL

15 September 2026

Submission deadline · 15 October 2026



A multidisciplinary enquiry into how migration status shapes exposure to poverty—and access to protection from it.

## THE ASSIGNMENT

# Not migration policy in the abstract.

The report must show how migration status interacts with socio-economic systems to produce, deepen or prevent poverty.

**01 Work & income**

In-work poverty, precarious employment and employer-dependent residence.

**02 Protection & services**

Housing, healthcare, education, social protection and income support.

**03 Rights & non-take-up**

Legal, administrative, economic and discriminatory barriers—including fear and status insecurity.

**Approximately 20 national Poverty Watches → one comparative European advocacy report.**

OUR READING OF POVERTY WATCH

# Evidence with a political purpose.

01

## National knowledge

Member reports are civil-society knowledge products, not raw data to be stripped of context.

02

## Lived expertise

People experiencing poverty are knowledge-holders whose evidence shapes interpretation and solutions.

03

## Advocacy utility

Findings must become clear demands for EU institutions, Member States and national networks.

---

Analytically credible **and** immediately useful in policy and advocacy.

Aligned to EAPN's bottom-up Poverty Watch and participation approach

ANALYTICAL ARCHITECTURE

# From conditions to change.

01

## Institutional conditions

Rules · resources · roles · relations · results

02

## Rights & status

Entitlement · conditions · enforcement

03

## Lived experience

Encounters · harm · agency · solutions

04

## National comparison

Patterns · differences · gaps · practice

05

## Actionable recommendations

Actor · instrument · accountability

No evidence stream is treated as decoration or appended after the analysis.

COMPARATIVE METHOD

# A transparent route through 20 national reports.

A common codebook preserves national context while making recurring mechanisms comparable.

3

reports piloted

20%

double-reviewed

1

evidence ledger

| Every evidence item records                               |                                                        | Country + page traceability |  |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 01<br>Status<br>Migration / residence category            | 02<br>Domain<br>Work · income · services · protection  |                             |  |
| 03<br>Mechanism<br>Rule · procedure · market · discretion | 04<br>Access<br>Information → remedy → outcome         |                             |  |
| 05<br>Intersection<br>Who is affected—and who is missing? | 06<br>Evidence<br>Type · strength · limits · questions |                             |  |

LEGAL & HUMAN-RIGHTS ANALYSIS


A right on paper is not yet  
a right in practice.



LIVED EXPERIENCE

Not a quotation  
layer.  
An evidence  
stream.

Testimony will shape causal analysis, interpretation and recommendations from the beginning.




|                   |                   |                      |                 |                   |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Context preserved | Consent respected | No re-identification | Agency retained | Meaning validated |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|

INTERSECTIONALITY

Operationalised  
at every stage.

Migration status is analysed as one differentiating condition among others—and legal categories can themselves produce vulnerability.



Who is exposed?

Which mechanism changes?

Who disappears in aggregation?

What evidence is missing?

COMPARATIVE SYNTHESIS

# Compare mechanisms. Preserve national realities.

01

## Common patterns

Mechanisms repeated across national reports.

02

## National differences

How policy design, eligibility and delivery vary.

03

## Contradictions

Where formal rights and actual access diverge.

04

## Distributions

Which groups carry disproportionate risk.

05

## Promising practice

Approaches that remove barriers or strengthen agency.

06

## Evidence gaps

What cannot be concluded—and whose reality is absent.

**OUTPUT** A traceable European narrative that is neither a country ranking nor an abstract policy survey.

FROM EVIDENCE TO ADVOCACY

# Recommendations that name the route to change.

EU references appear where they identify a decision point, an instrument or an accountable actor.

01

**Problem & affected groups**  
What is happening—and to whom?

02

**Evidence & mechanism**  
What shows it, and what produces it?

03


**Action & instrument**  
What changes in law, policy, funding or practice?

04

**Actor & accountability**  
Who acts, by when, and how is progress tested?


REPORT ARCHITECTURE

Maximum 30 pages.  
Every page has a job.



WORKPLAN · NOVEMBER 2026 TO FEBRUARY 2027

Parallel depth.  
One integrated process.



DELIVERABLES

# The complete evidence-to-report chain.



The comparative matrix is handed over as an internal EAPN working resource at no additional cost.

JOINT MULTIDISCIPLINARY CONSULTANCY TEAM

# Three specialisms. Shared intellectual ownership.

LEAD CONSULTANT

**Mahmoud  
Assy**

Civil society · systems · intersectionality · consultancy

- EAPN relationship & coordination
- Institutional analysis & synthesis
- Advocacy recommendations & final QA

LEGAL & HUMAN RIGHTS SPECIALIST

**Noor Ammar  
Lamarty**

Law · migration · human rights · gender

- Status-sensitive rights analysis
- Asylum, protection & discrimination
- Legal drafting & rights QA

SOCIAL INCLUSION & PARTICIPATORY RESEARCH SPECIALIST

**Nadra  
Fredj**

Education · institutional power · participation

- Qualitative & testimony framework
- Lived experience & social inclusion
- Method and representation QA

Mahmoud holds contractual accountability. Noor and Nadra lead substantive evidence streams and co-author the synthesis and recommendations.

QUALITY & RISK CONTROL

Rigour that protects  
meaning and  
deadlines.

One codebook, one evidence ledger, one version owner—  
and specialist challenge throughout.

Uneven national evidence

Coverage tracker · strength field · visible gaps

Aggregated migration categories

“As reported” coding · no inferred status

Testimony risk

Context · clearance · confidentiality · agency

Legal/access confusion

Six-stage effective-rights pathway · legal QA

Contradictory feedback

Structured review · transparent disposition log

Page and time pressure

Early page budget · parallel analysis · staged QA

FIXED-PRICE CONSULTANCY OFFER

Complete  
assignment.  
Integrated  
expertise.

TOTAL PROFESSIONAL FEE

€12,500

+ VAT, where applicable

|                                                                                     |                                              |        |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|--------|
| WP1                                                                                 | Inception & framework                        | €1,500 |
| WP2                                                                                 | National review & comparison                 | €3,500 |
| WP3                                                                                 | Rights, intersectionality & lived experience | €2,500 |
| WP4                                                                                 | European synthesis & draft                   | €2,500 |
| WP5                                                                                 | Consultation, revision & recommendations     | €1,500 |
| WP6                                                                                 | Coordination & final QA                      | €1,000 |
| Payment upon completion of deliverables in March 2027, in accordance with the call. |                                              |        |

WHAT EAPN RECEIVES

# A report members recognise. Evidence decision-makers must answer.

National knowledge

Effective rights

Lived expertise

European action

FINAL DELIVERY

**26 February 2027**

FIXED FEE

**€12,500 + VAT**

PRIMARY CONTACT

**Mahmoud Assy**

[mahmoud.assy@eufoniadiversity.com](mailto:mahmoud.assy@eufoniadiversity.com)